

EFFECT OF A SILAGE INOCULANT ON FERMENTATION QUALITY AND BIOGAS YIELD OF DIFFERENT GRASS SILAGE VARIETIES

Ewald Kramer¹, Johanna Witt¹, Nicole Lau¹ and Elisabeth Weidenholzer²

¹ISF GmbH, Wahlstedt, Germany ²Lactosan GmbH und Co. KG, Kapfenberg, Austria

BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVE

- The increasing number of biogas plants has intensified the search for alternative substrates, as corn silage becomes less attractive due to rising costs and environmental concerns
- Grassland biomass emerges as a promising low-cost alternative, but its biogas yield (BY) is highly variable due to factors like plant species, maturity, harvest conditions, and fermentation quality
- Additionally, farmers face challenges in producing stable, high-quality grass silage due to fluctuating dry matter (DM) and changing weather conditions

Aim: to investigate the effect of a homo- and heterofermentative lactic acid bacteria (LAB) based inoculant on improving fermentation, aerobic stability and BY of two grass silages

MATERIAL & METHODS

- In 2023, two different grass silage varieties (1st cut Italian ryegrass (IR): DM: 28.9%; WSC: 24.0% DM; CP: 11.9% DM; CF: 18.4% DM and; 2nd cut Tall fescue (TF): DM: 31.6%; WSC: 13.4% DM; CP: 13.7% DM; CF: 23.9% DM) were ensiled in laboratory min silos (1.5 L) and treated with (three replicates per silage and treatment):
 - CON: untreated control vs. LAB: Mixture of homo- and heterofermentative LAB (Silasil Energy.SG: L. buchneri, L. plantarum, L. coryniformis, E. lactis, application rate 2.0 x 10⁵ cfu/g FM)
- Glasses were stored at 20°C and on day 6, 14 and 90 the pH, fermentation pattern and on day 90 DM losses, aerobic stability (Honig, 1990) as well as BY according to German Standard VDI 4630 were measured
- Statistical evaluation: medians were calculated, and the Mann Whitney U-Test was performed to test differences between the control and the treated silages; the significance level was 5 %

RESULTS

- IR LAB-treated silages showed lower pH and higher lactic acid concentrations at all opening days, improving fermentation efficiency
- IR CON showed increased ethanol on day 14 and 90, likely caused by undesirable yeasts and/or ethanol producing bacteria
- Aerobic stability was significantly improved by LAB treatment, despite similar acetic acid levels; as well as BY, which indicates a more efficient fermentation for IR
- TF LAB-treated silages showed lower pH, higher lactic and no butyric acid formation, indicating effective activity of the homofermentative bacteria (L. plantarum, L. coryniformis, E. lactis)
- In contrast to IR, acetic acid was significantly improved on day 14 and 90 in TF LAB-treated silages, due to L. buchneri, therefore the aerobic stability was
 improved (see Table 1); also BY was significantly improved in the TF LAB-silages

Table 1. Silage parameters of grass silage variety Italian ryegrass (IR) and Tall fescue (TF) at different opening days (OD) for control (CON) and the treated LAB-mixture (LAB).

	Italian ryegrass silage						Tall fescue silage					
Parameter	OD6		OD14		OD90		OD6		OD14		OD90	
	CON	LAB	CON	LAB	CON	LAB	CON	LAB	CON	LAB	CON	LAB
DM _c (%)	28.7	28.6	28.4	28.7	26.6ª	27.5 ^b	31.9	31.8	31.6	31.5	31.8ª	30.4 ^b
LA (% DM)	6.12a	8.86 ^b	7.20a	10.05 ^b	9.87a	14.18 ^b	3.07ª	7.61 ^b	5.27a	10.43 ^b	5.66ª	9.97 ^b
AA (% DM)	0.80	0.83	0.93	1.14	3.05	3.24	0.89	0.84	0.87a	1.25 ^b	0.94ª	3.62 ^b
BA (% DM)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35^{a}	0.00^{b}
Eth (% DM)	0.45	0.35	0.95ª	0.66 ^b	0.86ª	0.44 ^b	0.81ª	0.35^{b}	0.74a	0.41 ^b	1.31ª	0.64 ^b
pН	4.57a	4.20 ^b	4.29a	3.94 ^b	4.13a	3.84 ^b	5.08ª	4.13 ^b	4.70a	3.91 ^b	4.53ª	3.86 ^b
WL (%)					1.56ª	0.97 ^b	-	-	-	-	2.23a	1.10 ^b
AS (days)	-	-	-	-	8.6ª	10.0 ^b	-	-	-	-	6.3ª	10.0 ^b
BY (NI/ kg DM)	-	-	-	-	621ª	637 ^b	-	-	-	-	578ª	584 ^b

 DM_c = DM corrected; LA=Lactic acid; AA= Acetic acid; BA= Butyric acid; Eth= Ethanol; WL= Weight losses in % FM; AS= Aerobic stability (max. length: 10 days); BY= Biogas yield; a,b symbolize significant differences (P < 0.05)

CONCLUSION

The tested LAB-mixture improved fermentation quality, aerobic stability, and biogas yield across grass varieties, offering a practical solution for optimizing grass silages with different quality due to variety, DM and crude nutrients for biogas production.

References:

Honig, H. 1990. Evaluation of aerobic stability. In: Lindgren, S. & Pettersson, K. (eds.), Proceedings of the EUROBAC Conference, Uppsala, Sweden, 1990, special issu



